



Comrat State University

Regulation on the Scientific Journal
«Komrat Devlet Universitetinin Bilim Jurnalı»



APPROVED:

by CSU Senate Decision

Minutes № 10

dated 10.10.2025

Comrat State University
Department of Quality Management and Educational Process

Guidance documents

QUALITY MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (QMS)

Registered by DQMEP № 237 dated 09.12.2025

REGULATION
on scientific journal
«KOMRAT DEVLET UNIVERSİTETİNİN BİLİM JURNALI»

1. GENERAL PROVISIONS

1.1. The Founder of the scientific journal “Komrat Devlet Universitetinin Bilim Jurnalı/ Revista Științifică a Universității de Stat din Comrat” (hereinafter referred to as the Journal) is Comrat State University (hereinafter referred to as the CSU) .

1.2. The Journal is a free reviewed scientific periodical published both in printed and electronic formats.

1.3. The Journal is published in the Gagauz and the English languages.

1.4. The Journal is issued in two scientific series, each comprising three scientific profiles:

- Social Sciences (Philology, Pedagogical Sciences, History and Archaeology);
- Economics. Law. Agriculture (Economic Sciences, Legal Sciences, Agricultural Sciences).

The print run of each series of the Journal shall be not less than 10 copies. The Journal shall also be posted on the electronic platform of the Journal, on the CSU website.

1.5. The Journal is hosted on the National Scientific Platform “*Instrumentul Bibliometric Național*” and is included in international scientometric databases and catalogues, in accordance with the requirements and recommendations of the National Agency for Quality Assurance in Education and Research (ANACEC), pursuant to point 22 (paragraph k), point 26 and Annex 4 of the Regulation on the evaluation, classification and monitoring of scientific journals (Regulamentul cu privire la evaluarea, clasificarea și monitorizarea revistelor științifice), approved by the ANACEC Governing Board Decision No. 6 of December 18, 2018, subsequently amended by Decisions No. 72 of November 27, 2020 and No. 15 of April 27, 2021.

1.6. Each series of the Journal is assigned an international standard serial number for printed and electronic editions (ISSN and E-ISSN).

1.7. The Journal is registered as a scientific periodical with National Book Chamber of the Republic of Moldova (CNCRM).

1.8. Upon category assignment, the Journal shall be included in the “Register of Specialized Scientific Journals” («Registrul revistelor științifice de profil»), recommended by ANACEC for the publication of scientific papers with a view to the subsequent theses defense for the degree of Doctor of science and Habilitate-Doctor of science, as well as for the subsequent awarding of scientific-pedagogical titles of Associate Professors and University Professors.

1.9. Scientific articles published in the Journal shall be assigned a Digital Object Identifier (DOI), a unique identifier for electronic objects. Each issue of the Journal shall bear an ISSN – a unique identifier for periodicals such as journals, newspapers, scientific publications, almanacs and other serial publications – which is assigned to multivolumed editions regularly updated or released on a regular basis. Each issue shall indicate the official address of the Journal, including the postal code (Index poștal).

1.10. The Journal is published according to an annual schedule and issued by scientific series twice per year (i.e. two issues per series annually). The Journal applies dual numbering identifying each issue of the scientific series. The Journal has both a current issue number within the calendar year and a gross issue

number from the date of its establishment. The serial number reflects the issue during the current year, and the gross number reflects the total number of issues, including previous years. Next to the numbering, the series and the year of publication are indicated, as well as the category of the journal in accordance with point 26 of the Regulation on the evaluation, classification and monitoring of scientific journals, approved by the ANACEC Governing Board Decision No. 6 of December 18, 2018, subsequently amended by Decisions No. 72 of November 27, 2020 and No. 15 of April 27, 2021.

1.11. Publishing activities of the Journal are coordinated by the Editor-in-Chief and the Editorial Board.

1.12. The Journal applies a double-blind peer-review procedure for all submitted manuscripts.

1.13. To finance the preparation, publication and distribution of the Journal, the Founder may attract funds from individuals, legal entities, organisations from the Republic of Moldova and abroad, as well as extrabudgetary funds, in accordance with the procedure established by the current legislation of the Republic of Moldova..

2. EDITORIAL POLICY, OBJECTIVES AND TASKS

2.1. The editorial policy of the scientific journal is based on the principles of scientific validity, reliability, objectivity, professionalism, and compliance with publishing ethics. It consists of creating conditions for the publication and discussion of scientific works, expanding scientific ties, and attracting young specialists to scientific work.

2.2. The main goals of the Journal are:

- publication of scientific research results, facilitation of scholarly exchange of views between the researchers from different countries on various issues in the humanities (Philology, Psycho-Pedagogy, History), social sciences (Economics, Law, Sociology), natural and applied sciences, ensuring interaction between the representatives from various scientific schools (professors, teachers, scientists/scholars, researchers and PhD students;
- dissemination of scientific achievements of the researchers from the Republic of Moldova within the national and international scientific community, and promotion of early-career researchers.

2.3. The main objectives of the Journal are the following:

- publication of materials reflecting the results of original open scientific research, theoretical and experimental studies, illuminating the current state of individual scientific fields;
- facilitation of scientific communication in higher educational institutions, formation of scientific schools and research directions around the journal, priority scientific research informational support, and promotion of scientific ideas;
- interaction with and involvement of leading foreign scholars/scientists and practitioners in publications and peer-reviewing of papers;
- provision of an academic platform for dialogue between researchers and practitioners.

3. JOURNAL GOVERNANCE STRUCTURE

3.1. The management of the Journal is carried out by the Editor-in-Chief who together with the CSU Vice-Rector for Science and International Relations form the editorial board. This includes the Editor-in-Chief, responsible editors for the scientific series, and members of the scientific committee for the scientific series.

3.2. Editorial boards are established for two scientific series:

- Social Sciences
- Economics, Law, Agriculture

3.3. The Editor-in-Chief is appointed and dismissed by the CSU Rector's order upon the recommendation of the Vice-Rector for Science and International Relations.

3.4. Responsible editors for the scientific series and members of the editorial boards are engaged by order of the CSU Rector's order upon the recommendation of the Journal Editor-in-Chief.

4. THE EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS RESPONSIBILITIES

4.1. The Editor-in-Chief of the Journal:

- exercises overall scientific supervision over the Journal publication;
- bears responsibility for compliance with the current legislation of the Republic of Moldova and adherence to the requirements of this Regulation;
- facilitates the recruitment of leading scientists/scholars and highly qualified specialists to work on the Journal;
- determines the numerical and professional composition of the editorial board according to the scientific series;
- makes decisions regarding acceptance and rejection of materials submitted for publication in the Journal;
- organizes and supervises the article reviewing process;
- controls the quality and receives documents from responsible editors for scientific series of the Journal;
- handles communication with the National Book Chamber of the Republic of Moldova, the National Library of the Republic of Moldova, and other educational and scientific organizations within the Republic of Moldova and internationally concerning matters related to the Journal's activities;
- prepares documentation package for the Journal registration on the national scientific platform "Instrumentul Bibliometric Național" and in scientometric databases according to the requirements and recommendations of ANACEC, points 22 (paragraph k), 26, and Appendix 4 of the Regulation on evaluation, classification, and monitoring of scientific journals ("Regulamentul cu privire la evaluarea, clasificarea și monitorizarea revistelor științifice"), approved by the ANACEC Governing Board Decision No. 6 of December 18, 2018, subsequently amended by Decisions No. 72 of November 27, 2020 and No. 15 of April 27, 2021;
- coordinates section-specific maintenance of the Journal's website;
- prepares and submits documentation to ensure the timely updating of Journal information in the relevant section of the CSU official website;
- promotes the dissemination of the Journal by organizing mandatory mailings through the electronic portal of the CSU Scientific Library;
- supervises the work of section editors, the printing house responsible for journal production, and the library; ensures compliance with the publication schedule and performs other related duties;

- continues efforts to attract leading scientists and highly qualified specialists to contribute to the scientific Journal;
- monitors journal citation impact metrics, analyzes the results, and implements organizational measures to enhance editorial office effectiveness and performance.

4.2. Scientific Series Editor of the Journal:

- exercises scientific leadership over the publication of the Journal within his/her scientific direction;
- coordinates the work related to accepting and rewriting articles according to their scientific profiles within the section;
- shares with the Journal editor-in-chief the responsibility for the scientific quality of the Journal and all its constituent parts within their respective scientific discipline;
- in his/her work, is guided by the current legislation of the Republic of Moldova, the Decisions of the editorial board, the Rector of CSU, the Vice-Rector for Science and International Relations of CSU, and the editor-in-chief;
- facilitates the involvement of leading scientists and highly qualified specialists in their scientific field to contribute to the Journal;
- participates in decision-making regarding the acceptance and rejection of materials submitted for publication in the Journal ;
- bears responsibility within their scientific discipline/section for ensuring the publication schedule of the Journal throughout all preparation and distribution stages;
- organizes technical coordination with reviewers according to scientific profiles of the series;
- organizes meetings of the editorial board of the Journal in scientific disciplines/series;
- organizes the receipt, registration, and storage of submitted materials and reviews; oversees electronic layout and proofreading of manuscripts within their scientific direction; and ensures their subsequent transfer to the Journal's editorial office for archiving;
- compiles statistical data on Journal publication.

4.3. Journal Editorial Board Member :

- participates in reviewing scientific papers submitted for publication;
- participates in decision-making regarding acceptance and rejection of the materials submitted for publication in the Journal;
- attends meetings of the editorial board.

5. SCIENTIFIC ARTICLE PUBLICATION PROCESS

5.1. The scientific article shall be submitted to the Editor-in-Chief in electronic format.

During the publication process, the scientific article must be accompanied by the following documents: an originality report verifying the manuscript's authenticity, and the original reviews. A PhD student enrolled in a doctoral school must provide a certificate from the doctoral school confirming his/her status, indicating the scientific field and year of study, or a confirmation letter from his/her supervisor.

5.2. Publication in the Journal is free of charge.

5.3. Scientific articles are published in the Journal under an Open Access model and are made available free of charge without subscription or payment, in accordance with the Open Access Policy (Appendix 1). Authors retain copyright and grant the journal the right of first publication.

5.4. Scientific articles published in the Journal are licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license (CC BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any format, provided the original author and the source of publication are properly cited.

5.5. The text of the scientific article must include the following information about each author:

- Full first name and last name;
- Academic degree and academic title;
- Place of employment or (for doctoral candidates) the doctoral school;
- ORCID ID;
- Email address of the author(s).

5.6. A scientific article must include the following elements:

- Title of the article (in both English and Gagauz);
- Abstract (in English, Gagauz, and Romanian);
- Keywords (in English, Gagauz, and Romanian, 5-10 keywords);
- JEL code;
- Introduction;
- Research methods and/or methodology;
- Literature review;
- Achieved results and discussion;
- Conclusion;
- Reference list.

5.7. Text formatting requirements according to the Journal Editorial Policy (Appendix 2):

- The article must be submitted in Microsoft Word (*.doc) with A4 page size;
- Orientation – portrait;
- Remove all page numbering and hyphenation;
- Margins: 2.0 cm on all sides (top, bottom, left, right);
- Font: Times New Roman;
- Font size: 12 pt;
- Line spacing: 1.15;
- Paragraph indent: 1 cm ;
- References in square brackets, numbered consecutively throughout the text;
- Alignment: justified;
- Article volume: 20–60 thousand characters (approximately 0.5–1.5 printed pages);
- Article title must be centered, written in uppercase letters, and without indentation;
- Use the formula editor from the Microsoft Office suite.

5.7. Authors submitting in Gagauz or English as a non-native language should have their articles professionally reviewed by a native speaker or a certified translator familiar with the relevant field's terminology prior to submission.

5.8. The Editorial Board will not consider submissions that do not comply with the stipulated formal requirements.

5.9. The Journal editorial office reserves the right to make editorial amendments and to reject a submitted manuscript. The article may be accepted for publication upon satisfactory revision. Articles and accompanying documents rejected by the Editorial Board will not be returned to the author. The Editorial Office does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of citations, references, or author information. The views expressed by the Editorial Office may not necessarily coincide with the scientific viewpoint of the authors.

The materials published in the Journal must not contain information that includes state, official, or commercial secrets. Authors bear full responsibility for the content of their articles, which must not have been previously published in other scientific publications.

Articles identified with plagiarism, an originality score below 75%, or self-citation surpassing 15% are subject to immediate rejection. The editorial board will deny publication rights for the affected submission.

6. SCIENTIFIC ARTICLES REVIEW PROCEDURE

6.1. The articles evaluation by reviewers is a crucial element in the process of publishing scientific papers and is an object of special attention from the Editorial Board. All articles undergo a double-blind peer review process in accordance with international principles: high quality, impartiality, transparency, efficiency, confidentiality, ethics of scientific research, responsibility for quality, and prevention of conflicts of interest.

6.2. The double-blind peer review model ensures that the authors of scientific articles are unaware of the reviewers' identities, and similarly, the reviewers are unaware of the authors' identities and their institutional affiliations.

6.3. A scientific article is submitted by the author(s) electronically via an online submission system, initiating the three-stage review process:

1. During the first stage of evaluation, the article is screened for plagiarism.
2. During the second stage, the Editorial Board evaluates the article against established technical requirements, including:
 - The title of the article must align with its subject matter and clearly reflect its purpose. It is recommended that the title does not exceed 10 words. To enhance search engine indexing, the title should incorporate keywords pertinent to the article's scientific field.
 - The abstract, with a word count of 200-250 words, must specify the scientific field of the article and present its significant results. It serves two primary purposes: to highlight the relevance, originality, and quality of the research, and to allow the reader to ascertain the work's relevance to their interests. As it will be used for indexing in databases, the abstract must be engaging to a reader who has not yet read the full article. The abstract must not contain bibliographic references.
 - Keywords must capture the essential themes of the article and represent key concepts from its scientific domain. They should be arranged in descending order of significance to the research.
 - The JEL code must correspond to the direction of the scientific article.
 - The introduction describes the general scientific context of the work, the issue under consideration and its importance for the research, scientific hypotheses, and main methodology used, as well as the structure of the subsequent paragraphs.
 - The content must present original research within the specified field and be logically structured. To ensure the authors' approach is clear, the article must adhere to a formal scientific style, utilize terminology recognized within the field, provide a clear description of the research methods employed, and present the results lucidly while highlighting their implications.

- The conclusion should synthesize the study's contributions, underscore its significance to the field, and suggest directions for future research.
- Adherence to the technical parameters for the composition and editing of the scientific article, as stipulated by the Editorial Board.

3. During the third stage of evaluation, the Editorial Board forwards the article to two reviewers specializing in the relevant field to assess the scientific merit of the research.

Should significant discrepancies arise between the opinions of the two reviewers, the article is sent to a third reviewer. The evaluation is conducted anonymously, without disclosing the author's name(s).

6.4. The Editor-in-Chief of the Journal assigns the scientific article to a qualified reviewer from the journal's expert database. Potential reviewers must hold a Doctor Habilitate or Doctor of Sciences degree in the relevant field, along with an appropriate academic title. The Editorial Board selects reviewers from the Journal's expert registry according to the papers' scientific directions/series. Editorial Board members are prohibited from serving as reviewers.

6.5. The assigned reviewer must submit a signed review form (Appendix 3) within ten working days to the designated editor overseeing the article's subject area.

6.6. The review is conducted according to the following criteria:

- alignment of the content, structure, and approach with the journal's editorial policy and its focus on broad fundamental and applied scientific issues;
- adequacy of the article title's formulation (conciseness, correspondence to the content);
- adherence to the standard structure of a scientific article: abstract and keywords (in English, Gagauz, and Romanian), introduction, methodology used, results, conclusions, and bibliographic references;
- relevance of the abstract (informativeness, consistency, main points reflecting the full content of the article);
- Scientific merit of the article (theoretical foundation, formulation of hypotheses, logical structure, coherence of arguments, relevance, and originality of the scientific contribution);
- presentation style (clarity, relevamnce, academic vocabulary, compliance with grammatical rules);
- graphical presentation, appropriateness of images and tables, their relevance to the topic under consideration, and effective communication of key findings;
- author's recommendations and conclusions;
- bibliography (current sources related to the article's topic).

6.7. Following the submission of reviews, the section editor consults with the Editor-in-Chief of the Journal to schedule the next meeting of the Editorial Board. The frequency of the Editorial Board meetings is determined by the Editor-in-Chief of the Journal.

6.8. During the meeting, the Editorial Board reviews all materials and approves the final list of scientific articles for publication in the next issue of the Journal. The reviews are announced at the meeting, and a decision is made on the publication, revision, or rejection of the article.

6.9. Should a disagreement arise during the review process, the decision on paper publication is reached through open voting by the Editorial Board members. A simple majority constitutes a winning vote. In the event of a tie, the Editor-in-Chief holds the deciding vote.

6.10. Based on the results of all stages of the review, the scientific article is assigned one of the following ratings:

- **Accepted:** the article is accepted, but the Editor-in-Chief may request clarification of some terms or definitions;
- **Accepted with minor changes:** the article is accepted, but the Editor-in-Chief may request minor revisions of the bibliographic citation format;

- **Requires revision:** the article requires substantial revision prior to publication decision (necessitating direct communication between the Editor-in-Chief and the author(s));
- **Major changes with re-submission to reviewers:** Significant deficiencies require major revisions and re-review by the Editor-in-Chief or at least one of the reviewers.
- **Rejected:** The article is rejected in its current form and cannot be published in the scientific Journal.

Articles accepted with significant revision requirements undergo secondary review by field Specialists to verify compliance with recommendations, resolve inconsistencies, and ensures enhanced scholarly quality. Rejected articles may be resubmitted following substantial revision. Detailed feedback from the review process is provided to authors to facilitate improvements in subsequent submissions.

7. ARCHIVING POLICY

7.1. Scientific articles published in the Journal are automatically placed in the CSU institutional repository immediately after publication. These actions ensure long-term preservation, Open Access, and increased visibility of research results.

7.2. Authors of scientific articles are also free to deposit any version of their papers (preprint, postprint, or the final published version) in other institutional or thematic repositories or on personal websites without embargo, provided that a full reference and DOI link to the original publication in the Journal are provided.

8. FINAL PROVISIONS

8.1. These Regulations shall enter into force upon their approval by the CSU Senate.

8.2. Revisions and amendments to these Regulations shall be made by the CSU Senate decision.

OPEN ACCESS POLICY

adopted by Comrat State University

Comrat State University recognizes the strategic significance of the global Open Access initiative for free knowledge dissemination. The University's Journal seek to make full use of the opportunities for digital contents development and the wide dissemination of locally generated knowledge via digital environments.

The articles published in the Journal are licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 (CC BY 4.0). Authors publishing in the Journal retain copyright to their papers and grant the Publisher a non-exclusive license under the Creative Commons Attribution License, permitting unrestricted use, dissemination, and reproduction in any medium, provided that the original paper is properly cited, including the attribution to author and the source of publication. By submitting a paper, the author grants the publisher the right of first publication. Any user may reuse the published material in compliance with the terms of the CC BY 4.0 license.

CSU is committed to disseminating scientific results in accordance with Open Access principles and encourages researchers to support the global Open Access initiative by publishing their research outputs in Open Access journals, in compliance with the highest research and dissemination standards.

The principal Open Access publishing strategies include:

1. Open Access Implementation through two complementary models:
 - a) self-archiving in CSU institutional repository and on the official website of the Journal;
 - b) publication of all the Journal issues in the Directory of Open Access Journals (DOAJ) and other international scientific platforms.
2. All publications issued in CSU Journal shall be deposited in the institutional repository on the date of publication.
3. The license granted by the authors to the publisher is an irrevocable, non-exclusive license that permits the exercise of all copyright-related rights necessary for Open Access dissemination.
4. The CSU Open Access policy provides for implementing an institutional Open Access mandate registered in ROARMAP (Registry of Open Access Repository Mandates and Policies), which permits Open Access. to the scientific research results accepted by the universities and research institutions that require their researchers to provide Open Access to peer-reviewed scientific articles.
5. The content of the institutional repository shall be registered in the National Bibliographic Instrument (IBN) with Open Access status. The policy shall be applied to all scientific papers published.
6. All stakeholders - scientific institutions, doing and supporting research; researches, who generate the research results, librarians, and others concernce, shall contribute to the rapid and effective transition to Open Access publishing.

Article Formatting Requirements

GENERAL REQUIREMENTS FOR MATERIALS

1. Text Format: Microsoft Word (*.doc, *.docx);
2. Text Language: Gagauz, English;
3. Orientation: A4, Portrait;
4. Margins (top, bottom, left, right): 2 cm;
5. Font: Times New Roman, size - 12 pt;
6. Line Spacing: 1.15;
7. Article volume: 20–60 thousand characters (0.5–1.5 printed pages);
To determine and check the volume:
Select the text of the article (without the title, authors, abstract, keywords, and bibliography);
Move the cursor to the "Review" section on the top bar and click the left button;
Next, move the cursor to the "Statistics" subsection and also click the left mouse button;
Focus on the "Characters (without spaces)" indicator.
8. Number of authors in one article: no more than 3.

ARTICLE FORMATTING

Arrangement and Structure of the Text within the Article

1. **ARTICLE TITLE:** In uppercase (capital) letters in English, then in Gagauz (bold font, center alignment);
2. **Author(s):** First name and last name, ORCID, on the next line — the represented scientific or educational institution (in lowercase letters, right alignment);
3. **Abstract:** In English, Gagauz, and Romanian, 200-250 words, *italicized* (justified alignment with a paragraph indent of 1 cm). The word "Abstract" itself (and the corresponding word in English, Gagauz, and Romanian) is also in bold;
4. **Keywords:** In English, Gagauz, and Romanian, 5-10 words, *italicized* (justified alignment with a paragraph indent of 1 cm). The syntagma "Keywords" (and the corresponding syntagma in English, and in subsequent paragraphs in Gagauz and Romanian) is also in bold. A blank line is left after the keywords before the main text of the article;
5. **Article Text:** Justified alignment with a paragraph indent of 1 cm. Inclusion of the following structure is optional:
 - a. **Introduction.** The introduction should briefly describe the topic of the article in a broad context and emphasize the importance of the research. The work should include literature review of sources on the research topic. The current state of research should be carefully analyzed, taking into account current key publications, which must be cited. The introduction should define the specific hypotheses that are tested during the research. If necessary, controversial and divergent assumptions are indicated. The main conclusions drawn from the bibliographic research should be highlighted, and the main purpose of the research in the article should be stated.

b. Materials and Methods are described in details sufficient enough to reproduce and develop the published results. New methods should be described in detail with appropriate references, while well-known methods can be briefly described and cited accordingly.

c. Results. A brief and precise description of the research results, their interpretation, and the conclusions based on them. Each figure/table must be accompanied by corresponding references and clarifications in the preceding paragraph.

d. Discussion. Discussion of the results and the possibilities for interpreting them from the perspective of previous research and working hypotheses. The conclusions and their significance should be discussed in the possible broadest context. Future research directions may also be mentioned. This section can be combined with the "Results" section.

e. Conclusion (findings). The conclusion should contain clear and concise findings, fully based on the results of the research.

6. Citations:

a. To bibliographic sources (mandatory) Indicated in square brackets with the source number and, separated by a comma, the page number(s), for example: [5, p. 115] or [8, pp. 98-101]. If a punctuation mark (period, comma, etc.) is next to the citation, it is placed after the citation.

b. To tables and figures (if any) Indicated in parentheses in the preceding paragraph, for example: (Table 1) or (Fig. 1). If a punctuation mark (period, comma, etc.) is next to the reference, it is placed after the reference.

7. Tables. Indicate above the table the word **Table** (or its equivalent in the article's language), followed by its sequential number in Arabic numerals, then a period, and the table title (center-aligned). The word **Table** (or its equivalent) and the sequential number with the period should be in bold.

8. Figures. Any graphical material (drawing, diagram, chart, picture, etc.) is indicated below the figure with the word **Figure** (or its equivalent in the language of the article), followed by its sequential number in Arabic numerals, then a period, and the title (center-aligned). The word **Figure** (or its equivalent) and the sequential number with the period should be in bold.

9. Bibliography. Located at the end of the article, sources are listed in alphabetical order, first those in the Latin script, followed by those in Cyrillic. Works by the same author within the same script are arranged chronologically by publication date. Bibliographic sources are formatted according to the following examples:

- a) **books:** ROACH, P. *English Phonetics and Phonology, A practical course*. Fourth edition. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2009. 283 p. ISBN 978-0-521-71740-3
- b) **articles in books:** ILIE, L.-N. Teaching Literature to Foreign Students: Difficulties and Suggestions. In: *Paths of Communication in Postmodernity*. Târgu-Mureș: Arhipelag XXI Press, 2020, p.165-171. ISBN 978-606-8624-00-6
- c) **articles in journals:** BABÎRĂ, N. Fonetica: ramuri, concepte, teorii și metode de cercetare. *Univers pedagogic*, 2019. nr. 3 (63), p.14-22. ISSN 1811-5470 DOI:
- d) **dissertation abstracts:** PETRICIUC, L. *Dezvoltarea competenței de comunicare în limba engleză prin intermediul textului literar*. Rezumatul tezei de doctor în științe pedagogice. Chișinău, 2021. 34 p.
- e) **Internet resources:** TICUȚĂ E.R. Literatura în predarea limbii engleze. *Școala argeseană*, 2016, octombrie. DOI. Disponibil:
<http://www.scoalaargeseana.ro/didactica-magna/1334-1literatura-inpredarea-limbii-engleze> [accesat 25.01.2022].

Notes:

1. If there are several (two or more) authors, they are listed separated by a semicolon (;) and are otherwise indicated as in the examples provided above.
2. For dissertations, only their abstracts (autoreferat) are included in the Bibliography, with corresponding references to them in the article. The inclusion of the dissertations themselves (if they are not published by a publisher and do not have publication status), as well as other unpublished works, and references to them is not permitted.

Scoring Scale: 0=missing information; 1=insufficient; 2=satisfactory; 3=good; 4=very good; 5=excellent

No.	Criteria	Elements	Score Awarded
1	Adequate formulation of the paper's title	1. Conciseness, informative nature of the title, and its consistency with the article's content.	
2	Importance and utility of the research	2. Relevance of the topic's approach, potential to spark debate.	
		3. Utility of the research for science, education, economy.	
3	Originality and novelty	4. Degree of novelty of the work, the place of the reviewed article among the other works published on the respective topic.	
		5. Originality in addressing the discussed issues.	
4	Scientific quality	6. Theoretical foundation of the study, adequate formulation of hypotheses as a result of theoretical analysis.	
		7. Appropriate use of methodological, experimental, statistical approaches, etc., in accordance with modern scientific achievements.	
		8. Logical structure and sufficient detail of the study.	
		9. Coherence of arguments, scientific interpretation of the obtained results.	
		10. Focus on results, interpretations, and conclusions.	
		11. Absence of scientific errors and misconceptions.	
		12. Extent to which conclusions are justified by the results, based on adequate analysis.	
		13. Value of scientific results in relation to the international level.	
5	Style of expression	14. Clarity, accessibility, and comprehensibility of assertions, concise presentation, adherence to spelling and punctuation.	
		15. Graphical presentation of the work, rationality of including figures and tables, and their correspondence to the addressed topic.	

6	Use of bibliography	16. Reflection of the intended idea in the text by the references used.	
		17. Use of a relevant, recent bibliography on the studied subject.	
		18. Citations from internationally recognized journals.	
7	Ethical aspects	19. Veracity of the data used.	
		20. Absence of elements of plagiarism.	
Total Score			
Comments: Justification of the score awarded per criterion (element)			
Recommendations to the Editor			
Return for Revision			
Reject			
	Recommendations to the Author (modifications/additions; methods; literature, etc.)		
	<p>Note: It is recommended to reject a paper that scores below 50 points, to return for completion/modification a paper that scores 50-75 points, and to accept a paper with over 75 points.</p> <p><i>The review form (or a fragment of the form) was developed within the Institute for the Development of the Information Society, "National Bibliometric Instrument" project.</i></p>		

Reference

[1] Регламент журнала КГУ-2 https://api.skywork.ai/chat/chat/upload_file?file_id=2010133278343618560